

1. Overview

This Manual explains the requirements for approval of residential Alternative Calculation Methods (ACMs or compliance software). Residential Compliance Software is used to demonstrate compliance with the performance approach to the California Energy Efficiency Standards for Low-Rise Residential Buildings (Standards).

The approval procedure is one of self-testing and self-certification by the Compliance Software vendor. The vendor conducts the specified tests, evaluates the results and certifies in writing that the Compliance Software passes the tests. The California Energy Commission (Commission) will perform spot checks and may require additional tests to verify that the proposed Compliance Software is suitable for compliance purposes. The vendor is required to develop a compliance supplement (program user manual) explaining how to use the program ~~for showing to show~~ compliance with the Standards. The compliance supplement will also be checked by the Commission for accuracy and ease of use.

Residential Compliance Software that implements the 2013 Standards must use the energy simulation and compliance rule implementation software specified by the Commission. This open source software, called the Compliance Manager in this document, will be made available at no cost to potential Compliance Software vendors. The ACM tests submitted by the vendor will confirm that the Compliance Manager has been successfully integrated into the vendor software.

~~When energy analysis techniques are compared, there are two basic sources of discrepancies: differences in user interpretation when entering the building specifications, and differences in the compliance software's algorithms for estimating energy use. The approval tests in this manual are designed to minimize differences in interpretation by providing explicit detailed descriptions of the test buildings that shall be analyzed.~~

This chapter presents the general requirements for residential compliance software. Chapter 2 addresses the standard reports that all Compliance Software must generate. Chapter 3 lists the Compliance Software vendor requirements. Chapter 3 has the rules for defining the Standard Design and algorithms and modeling assumptions used in the reference method. Chapters 34 and 45 describes the have certification tests accuracy tests to be completed by the Compliance Software vendors and spot checked by the Commission. Chapter 6 has requirements for compliance software vendors. Chapter 4 describes the required content of the Compliance Supplement.

1.1 Minimum Modeling Capabilities

~~Minimum modeling capabilities shall be included in all compliance software. If candidate compliance software does not have all of these capabilities, then it cannot be approved for compliance. The minimum modeling capabilities are summarized below:~~

- ~~• Conditioned Zone Model (CZM) Includes conductive and radiant energy transfer using energy balance simulation method. nduction gains and losses through opaque and fenestration surfaces~~
- ~~• Attic Modeling (UZM)~~
- ~~• Slab edge gains and losses~~

- ~~Infiltration gains and losses~~
- ~~Solar gains through glazing including the effects of internal shading devices.~~
- ~~Natural ventilation cooling~~
- ~~Mechanical Ventilation for Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)~~
- ~~Thermal mass effects to dampen temperature swings~~
- ~~Space conditioning equipment efficiency and distribution systems~~
- ~~Water heating equipment efficiency and distribution systems~~
- ~~Maximum Cooling Capacity~~
- ~~Building additions~~
- ~~Building alterations~~
- ~~Attic Modeling (UZM)~~
- ~~Maximum Cooling Capacity~~

1.2 Optional Modeling Capabilities

Candidate compliance software may have more capabilities than the minimum required. Compliance software can be approved for use with none, a few, or all of the optional capabilities. The following optional capabilities are recognized for residential compliance software:

- ~~Raised floors with automatically operated crawl space vents~~
- ~~Zonal control or multi-zone modeling of the sleeping and living areas of the house~~
- ~~Attached sunspaces for collection and possible storage of heat for transfer to the main house~~
- ~~Passive Solar Unconditioned Spaces~~ Exterior mass walls
- ~~Overhangs and Side Fin Shading~~
- ~~Combined hydronic space and water heating~~
- ~~Building alterations~~
- ~~Solar water heating~~
- ~~Gas fired and Absorption Cooling~~
- ~~Evaporatively cooled condensing units~~
- ~~Ice storage air conditioner~~
- ~~Evaporative coolers~~
- ~~Photovoltaic performance modeling~~

Many of the optional modeling capabilities have been previously approved by the Commission through the exceptional methods process. The approval tests for optional modeling capabilities are included in Chapter 5.

4.31.1 Application Checklist

The following is a ~~check~~list of ~~all~~ the items that shall be included in an application package for ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software certification by the Commission: ~~Some materials are required only for general purpose compliance software and are so indicated.~~

- **Compliance ~~S~~software Vendor Certification Statement.** A statement from the ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software vendor certifying the ~~compliance software, and, its~~ reliability and accuracy ~~of the software~~ when used for ~~Standards~~ compliance purposes (see Residential ACM ~~Approval~~ Manual Appendix A).
- ~~Computer Run Summary Sheets. Hard copy summary sheets of all the required computer runs (see Residential ACM Manual Appendix A).~~
- **Computer Runs and Summary Sheets.** Copies of the computer runs specified in Chapters ~~4 and 3 and 45~~ of this Manual, including complete input and output files, ~~on diskettes or in provided electronically to the Commission in~~ computer readable form ~~acceptable to the Commission to enable spot checks. Summary sheets of all the required computer runs also provided electronically to the Commission (see Residential ACM Approval Manual Appendix A).~~
- **Compliance Supplement.** A copy of the Compliance Supplement discussed in Chapter ~~46~~. The Compliance Supplement and the Compliance ~~S~~software User's Manual may be combined into the same document.
- **Copy of the ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software.** ~~An executable computer readable version~~ ~~copy~~ of the ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software ~~(in a format agreed to by the Commission staff) for the Commission's use during the software certification process verification of analyses and random verification of compliance analyses. Weather data shall be included.~~
- **Application Fee.** An application fee of \$~~12,000.00~~ (~~onetwo~~ thousand dollars) is required to cover ~~the~~ costs of evaluating the application.

4.41.2 Types of Approval

This Manual addresses three types of ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software approval: full approval, streamlined approval of new program features, and amendments to full approvals.

4.4.1.2.1 Full Approval

Full approval is required when a ~~candidate e~~~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software ~~product~~ has never been previously approved by the Commission, ~~and/or~~ when the ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software vendor makes ~~a~~ changes ~~to the executable program code or algorithms, or any other change~~ that ~~significantly in any way~~ affects the results. The Commission may also require that all ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software be approved again when the ~~S~~tandards are updated ~~on the three year cycle~~ or whenever substantial revisions are made to the approval process, ~~for instance, if new analysis capabilities come into widespread use, and the Commission declares them to be minimum capabilities for all compliance software.~~

When re-approval is ~~necessary, then~~ ~~mandated by the~~ Commission, ~~will notify~~ all ~~Ce~~ompliance ~~S~~software vendors ~~will be notified~~ of the ~~renewal~~ timetable ~~for renewal~~. There will also be a revised ~~ACM compliance software~~ Approval Manual published, with complete instructions for re-approval.

Full approval is required for all Compliance Software changes, unless they qualify for the streamlined approval process ~~or for an addendum, as~~ discussed below.

1.4.21.2.2 Streamlined Approval

Certain types of changes may be made to approve Compliance Software through a streamlined procedure. Examples of changes that qualify for streamlined approval are modifications to the user interface or implementation on a different operating system, as long as there are no changes to the ~~compliance executable program code that would in any way affect the results calculated by the software.~~ I

If a Compliance Software modification qualifies for streamlined approval, then the following procedure is followed:

- The Compliance Software vendor prepares an addendum to the compliance supplement, when appropriate, describing the change to the Compliance Software.
- The Compliance Software vendor notifies the Commission by letter of the change. The letter shall describe in detail the nature of the change and why it is being made. The notification letter shall be included in the Compliance Supplement.
- The Compliance Software vendor Provides the Commission with an updated copy of the Compliance Software and include any new reports created by the compliance software (or modifications in the standard reports).
- The Commission responds in 45 days. The Commission response may take several forms. The Commission may approve the updated software, request additional information, refuse to approve the change or require that the Compliance Software vendor make specific changes to either the Compliance Supplement addendum or the Compliance Software.
- With Commission approval, the vendor may issue new copies of the Compliance Software with the Compliance Supplement addendum and notify Compliance Software users and building officials.

1.4.31.2.3 Amendments

Compliance Software approval shall be amended when optional modeling capabilities are added. The vendor shall provide the additional computer runs required for the optional modeling capability. It is not necessary to include computer runs previously submitted.

An amendment to approved Compliance Software shall be accompanied by a cover letter explaining the type of amendment requested, and copies of other documents as necessary. All items on the application checklist should be submitted, when applicable. The timetable for approval of amendments is the same as for full approval.

1.4.41.2.4 When Approval Is Not Required

Changes that do not affect compliance with the ~~Energy Efficiency Standards for residential buildings~~ do not require full or streamlined approval. However, the Compliance Software vendor shall notify the Commission and provide the Commission with an updated copy of the program and user manual.

Re-approval is required for any Compliance Software ~~program~~ change that affects the energy use calculations for compliance, the modeling capabilities for compliance results, the format and/or content of compliance forms, or any other change ~~that which~~ would affect a building's

compliance with the Standards. Any questions regarding applicable approval procedures should be directed to the Commission.

4.51.3 Challenges

Building officials, program users, program vendors or other interested parties may challenge any residential Ceompliance Ssoftware approval. If any interested party believes that a compliance program, an algorithm, or method of calculation used in a Ceompliance Software program, a particular eapability or other aspect of a program provides inaccurate results, the party may challenge the program.

4.61.4 Decertification of Compliance Software

The Commission may decertify (rescind approval of) ~~an alternative calculation~~ Compliance Software method through various means:

- All Ceompliance Ssoftware products are decertified when the Standards undergo substantial changes, ~~which usually occur occurring with each Standards updates every three years.~~
- Any Ceompliance Ssoftware product can be decertified by a letter from the Ceompliance Software vendor requesting that a particular version (or versions) of the ~~producte compliance software~~ be decertified. The decertification request shall briefly describe the nature of the program errors or "bugs" which justify the need for decertification.
- Any "initiating party" may commence a procedure to decertify a Ceompliance Ssoftware product according to the steps outlined below. The intent is to include a means whereby serious program errors, flawed numeric results, improper forms and/or incorrect program documentation not discovered in the certification process can be verified, and use of the particular Ceompliance Software version discontinued. In this process, there is ample opportunity for the Commission, the Ceompliance Software vendor and all interested parties to evaluate any alleged errors in the Ceompliance Software program.

Following is a description of the process for challenging Ceompliance Software or initiating a decertification procedure:

1. Any party may initiate a review of Ceompliance Software's approval by sending a written communication to the Commission's Executive Director. (The Commission may be the initiating party for this type of review by noticing the availability of the same information listed here.)

The initiating party shall:

- (a) State the name of the Ceompliance Software and the program version number(s) ~~that which~~ contain the alleged errors;
 - (b) Identify concisely the nature of the alleged errors in the Ceompliance Software ~~that which~~ require review;
 - (c) Explain why the alleged errors are serious enough in their effect on analyzing buildings for compliance to justify a decertification procedure; and
 - (d) Include appropriate data electronically (in a format agreed to by the Commission staff) and/or information sufficient to evaluate the alleged errors.
2. The Executive Director shall make a copy or copies of the initial written communication available to the Ceompliance Software vendor and interested parties within 30 days. Comments from interested parties must be received within 60 days of the acceptance of the original application.

3. Within 75 days of receipt of the written communication, the Executive Director may request any additional information needed to evaluate the alleged Compliance Software errors from the party who initiated the decertification review process. If the additional information is incomplete, this procedure will be delayed until the initiating party submits complete information.
4. Within 75 days of receipt of the initial written communication, the Executive Director may convene a workshop to gather additional information from the initiating party, the Compliance Software vendor and interested parties. All parties will have 15 days after the workshop to submit additional information regarding the alleged program errors.
5. Within 90 days after the Executive Director receives the application or within 30 days after receipt of complete additional information requested of the initiating party, whichever is later, the Executive Director shall either:
 - (a) Determine that the Compliance Software need not be decertified; or
 - (b) Submit to the Commission a written recommendation that the Compliance Software be decertified.
- ~~6. The initial written communication, all other relevant written materials and the Executive Director's recommendation shall be placed on the consent calendar and considered at the next business meeting after submission of the recommendation. The matter may be removed from the consent calendar at the request of any person.~~
76. If the Commission approves the Compliance Software decertification, it shall take effect 60 days later. During the first 30 days of the 60 day period, the Executive Director shall send out a Notice to Building Officials and Interested Parties announcing the decertification.

All initiating parties have the burden of proof to establish that the review of alleged Compliance Software errors should be granted. The decertification process may be terminated at any time by mutual written consent of the initiating party and the Executive Director.

~~As a practical matter, the Compliance Software vendor may use the 180 to 210-day period outlined here to update the Compliance Software program, get it re-approved by the Commission, and release a revised version that does not contain the errorbugs initially brought to the attention of the Commission. Sometimes the compliance software vendor may wish to be the initiating party to ensure that a faulty program version is taken off the market.~~

4.71.5 Compliance Software Tests

This Manual provides tests to verify that Compliance Software isare accurate. These tests are provided in Chapters ~~4 and 53~~ of this Manual. The Compliance Software vendor may propose alternate tests when the vendor believes that one or more of the standard tests are not appropriate for the Compliance Software. Alternate tests will be evaluated by the Commission and will be accepted if they are considered reasonable. If accepted, the alternate test(s) will be added to this manual as an addendum and the alternate test(s) will be available for use by all Compliance Software. ~~The alternate test will coexist with the standard test presented in this Manual until the Manual is revised. When a new version of this Manual is produced, the alternative test may be substituted for the current test or may continue to coexist with the original test.~~

4.81.6 Approval of New Exceptional Methods

The Commission may approve new exceptional methods. Exceptional methods are special modeling capabilities or calculation methods necessary to recognize building features that cannot be adequately modeled with existing Compliance Software. When an Exceptional Method is approved, a new optional capabilities test may be approved as part of the process. ~~Exceptional Methods do not necessarily produce optional capabilities for compliance software. For instance, radiant heating systems are recognized by an adjusted equipment efficiency that may be used directly in compliance software or other compliance methods.~~ To be approved for the new optional capability, vendors shall amend their Compliance Software approval.

Even if the Compliance Software already incorporates the Exceptional Method, the vendor shall receive approval to use the Exceptional Method in the compliance process. The Compliance Software vendor shall demonstrate that the Compliance Software automatically uses the correct fixed and restricted inputs for the Exceptional Method and that the standard reports identify the building feature(s) recognized by the Exceptional Method. Additionally, the ACM compliance supplement shall be updated, referencing the use of the new Exceptional Method.

~~To receive a copy of the Exceptional Method contact the Building and Appliances Office at (916) 654-4064.~~

